Smart Meter Removal Diary Joe Esposito 4-30-13

I had my certified electrician apply for a permit to Tulsa County for a meter change from a Smart Meter back to an analog meter, due to health reasons and because the Smart Meter is two microwave transmitting devices (transmissions of 14,000 to 190,000 times per day plus all it's support system of routers, collectors and antennae also creating dirty electricity along with it's mesh grid network, as well as, sterilizing the ground 3 feet deep and is very dangerous to human health and all biological life. Tulsa County approved the exchange and gave us a permit #6336 to change out the meter.

Monday, 4-15-13

I had a certified electrician remove the Smart Meter and placed an analog meter on my house. (The meter, we installed is calibrated, accurate, zeroed and standard, and that model has been in service for many years and does not require any additional "certification". I assure and guarantee the accuracy and standard function of the meter we installed. I am fully responsible for any errors in measurement by my meter.)

Tuesday, 4-16-13

We sent the PSO's Smart Meter #746022564 back to their office at 212 E. 6th St. Tulsa, OK 74119, certified mail. The readings on the Smart Meter at the time we pulled it were 051101 and 014.040 and the new analog meter at the time of installation displayed all zeros. Included in the box was the Smart Meter, copy of my electric bill, copy of notice of demand of removal, copy of notice of default, warning of liability, copy of warning notice tag (tag placed on new analog meter).

Thursday, 4-18-13 at 11:30AM

Charles E. Bright, Office of Tulsa County Inspector, electrical inspector, inspected my new analog meter and said everything was fine and affixed his green seal permit # 6336 to the left side of the analog meter. He said he would call PSO to have them put their security tag on the meter.

Tuesday, 4-23-13 early afternoon

Tracey Godfroy, from PSO customer service, called to discuss the activities which had taken place concerning the meter exchange. I explained that I had sent several legal documents by certified mail (1-15-13 #1 "Notice and Demand for Removal of all Digital Electric Meters, Radiation Emitting and Surveillance Device, Notice of Loss of Easement by Criminal Misconduct" and a personal notarized testimony of health damages 2-4-13 #2 "Notice of Default, Warning of Liability") to Mr. Stuart Solomon, CEO of PSO. Mr. Solomon has never responded to any of the documents which I have sent to him.

I tried to explain that the Smart Meter was damaging my heath and my family's health. There was a short discussion about placing the Smart Meter back on my house, but we could not resolve the issue. Then she wanted to read me a letter that she was going to send to me. I told her I could read and to just send the letter.

Tuesday, 4-23-13

After my conversation with Tracey Godfroy I sent a letter, by certified mail, to Mr. Stuart Solomon requesting information concerning the Smart Meter Network that involves my house. The frequencies, number of transmissions per day, and locations of antennae, collectors, and routers that pertain to my Smart Meter.

Wednesday, 4-24-13

We received via Federal Express, overnighted, a PSO letter from Joann Stevenson Counsel 405-841-1302 relating a lot of legalese ending with "5:00 PM Friday, April 26, 2013 to avoid disconnection of your electric service."

Friday, 4-26-13

Tracey Godfroy called early afternoon with more accusations and options which we could not agree upon (ie. putting a deflecting plate on their Smart Meter). I said again I wanted an analog meter, because the Smart Meter was hurting me. She said we do not have analog meters anymore and I said you are pulling analog meters off all over the state, why can I not have one of those. Then Tracey said we can not do that. More short discussion and she wanted to discuss my two options, at that point I exercised my option and ended the conversation.

Monday, 4-29-13 9:00 AM

I affixed a security lock tag # 405965 on my analog meter, since PSO had not placed their tag on my meter (PSO's old cut blue tag # 0007059). I felt this was necessary to lock the meter so that nobody could tamper with the meter and that PSO could not accuse me of not recording the proper usage of electricity. The meter reading at this time was 00603.

When the electrician exchanged the Smart Meter for the analog meter the reading was 00000 and we took a video of the exchange of both meters so that we could have accurate readings, therefore, I can pay for the proper usage of electricity -- I have been their customers for 19 years and have always paid my bills.

Monday, 4-29-13 10:00-10:15 AM

Two PSO trucks arrived in front of our house and two worker disconnected our power and left.

*Friday, 5-3-13 1:00 PM Now the Fifth Day NO electric power, NO concern? *Friday, 5-10-13 9:00 AM Now the Twelfth Day NO electric power, NO concern?

Note: When anybody tells you "WE ARE COMPLYING WITH THE FCC" Stop them right there and let them know that on Dr. Magda Havas, PhD Website the below article was posted:

HEALTH CANADA ADMITS SAFETY CODE 6 GUIDELINE FOR MICROWAVE RADIATION IS BASED ONLY ON THERMAL EFFECTS

February 20, 2013: I just returned from a hearing in Montreal in front of the **Superior Court of Quebec** where Health Canada scientist, **James McNamee**, admitted that the Safety Code 6 guideline for microwave radiation (which includes radiation from most of the devices we are concerned about like mobile phones, cell phone antennas, Wi-Fi, wireless toys and baby monitors, smart meters etc.) is based ONLY on preventing a heating effect! Let me state that again. **Health Canada admits that Safety Code 6 for frequencies between 100 kHz and 300 GHz are based ONLY on heating.**¹

There are two important facts I would like to point out about this quote: Safety Code 6 was taken from industrial standards and they did not consider the biological effects and the FCC of the USA adopted the same guidelines as Canada which makes both agencies' guidelines **void**.

The point! Canada and the USA only considered thermo effects of the radiation and left out all the biological effects of the radiation.

We need to be applying The BioInitiative Report December 2012, 1,479 page document outlining many of the damages and ill-effects caused by low-level ambient radiation from devices such as Smart Meters. It is authored by 28 doctors in 11 countries, **WE NEED TO BE APPLYING THE PRECAUTIONARY PRINCIPAL----- NOW.**

http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/BioInitiativeReport2012.pdf

¹ "Health Canada Admits Safety Code 6 Guideline For Microwave Radiation Is Based Only On Thermal Effects!" <u>Dr. Magda Havas, PhD</u>. Web. 20 February 2013. http://www.magdahavas.com/health-canada-admits-safety-code-6-guideline-for-microwave-radiation-is-based-only-on-thermal-effects/